DEFINITION OF PERSUASIVE WRITING

PERSUASIVE WRITING is defined as presenting reasons and examples to influence action or thought. Effective persuasive writing requires a writer to state clearly an opinion and to supply reasons and specific examples that support the opinion.

PERSUASIVE PROMPT

Some communities are considering a 9 p.m. curfew for teenagers. Decide whether you are for or against such a proposal. Write a persuasive essay supporting your position about curfews for teenagers. Give convincing reasons and/or specific examples to support your opinion.
PERSUASIVE MODE

1. Paper #9916154

In this Level I response, the writer takes a position against the curfew (that is the most stupid thing I’ve ever heard). However, the response is too brief to indicate an organizational strategy. There is a clear supporting detail about teen jobs ending at 9 o’clock, but there is no topic development beyond that detail. This response shows little understanding of the persuasive task.

2. Paper #9937103

The writer of this unsuccessful response asserts that teens will simply ignore the curfew, and that having one makes teens go home even later. The explanation is confusing, and the information is both disorganized and undeveloped. In addition, the use of texting jargon and colloquial slang (i.e. it like diz) compounds the many obvious errors in sentence formation, grammar, usage, and mechanics which do interrupt the flow of communication.

3. Paper #9961948

This brief but clear response gives a position in favor of a curfew and attempts to organize three reasons. However, the organizational language is misleading, as the information only makes one point: having a curfew would be good but difficult, as teens would have to rush home and might get hurt. The response remains undeveloped and shows little understanding of the persuasive task.
That is the most stupid thing I've ever heard. Parents raise their children not the communities in which they live in. If a community was to have a curfew, it would need to be later than 9. Most teens who have jobs don't get off until 9 or a little later. A 9 o'clock curfew is stupid. No one would follow it.
I am not hit, not cut, teen & do them we do not need a curfew. In my head we got a curfew so but I do not go home on time. Do not need one. It will make kid badder then what they is. So if it like dig it good for but. The teenagers will go home about 2-3 I am 16. So I do not have a curfew for nobody not my mom & Daddy in ALABAMA. If that what they want for the kids we will have go in on time. They all teen need a good job in not no bad job if they get a bad job they will get lock up.
I think that communities should impose a curfew. My reasons are teens are not that experienced at driving at night so that could be good. My second reason is teens would have to be more responsible to get home on time. My last reason is teen could be in a rush to get home there could be more wrecks, deaths, etc.
PERSUASIVE MODE

LEVEL II – Partially meets standard

1. Paper #9936221

This Level II response has a clear organizational plan. The introduction previews three reasons in favor of a curfew (no harmful things can get done, staying out of trouble, not getting into fights), and each reason in turn provides a little more information. However, the development is very general and wordy with only a basic-functional vocabulary (be around something bad, can’t harm anything or anyone). The writer also repeats information within each reason as a transitional device, which aids the organizational plan, but results in only minimal development. Errors in mechanics, usage, and grammar do not interrupt the flow of communication. The response shows some understanding of the persuasive writing task, and needs more specific development for a higher score.

2. Paper #9956250

This lower Level II response takes a conditional position, arguing for an 11 p.m., not a 9 p.m., curfew. The writer develops one persuasive example, which details the time it takes teens to go out to dinner. The example shows logical order, indicating some evidence of an organizational strategy, but the response is so brief that development remains minimal. The response demonstrates only some understanding of the writing task.

3. Paper #9937454

This Level II response takes a clear position against an early curfew, but wanders from the one controlling idea in a series of bare and extended reasons that drift in focus. Instead of a consistent argument against the curfew, the writer asserts that parents should learn to trust teens, which may result, for example, in teens wanting to meet curfew. The writer does not develop the theme of trust with much logic. The information is also very general, and the response shows only minimal and loosely organized development.

4. Paper #9937319

This higher Level II response initially argues for a 9 p.m. curfew and concludes both with a strategy for making curfew and specific consequences for missing curfew. However, the lengthy middle section drifts off task and mode into a discussion of why teens stay out past curfew, and how important it is to stop them. This section is wordy and general with basic-functional vocabulary (keep doing what they are doing, you may feel good, for their own good). This change in focus demonstrates a lack of author control and a limited understanding of organizational strategy. A Level III response requires a more consistent persuasive purpose and more specific development.
I am for the curfew for teenagers. I have three reasons why: no harmful things can get done, staying out of trouble, and not getting into fights.

I think it's a good idea for teenagers to have a curfew at 9 p.m. because no harmful things could get done then. They can't harm anything or anyone. They can't be in danger themselves if they follow the curfew.

They can also stay out of trouble and don't be running the streets after 9. They need to be in the house because older people may persuade them to do something they don't have any business doing. Just in case they be around something bad that has happen they can't be blamed for it.

The last reason I think the curfew is a good idea is because teens like to fight and if they be in the house they can kind of avoid fighting. When it comes down to fighting a lot of people can get hurt and it will be less time we have to deal with on the streets. No parents would have to worry about where their child are and are they okay.

That's why I think a curfew for teenagers are a good idea. Although some may not follow it, I think they should though so they can stay out of trouble and be safe.
Dear Community, I believe that 9 pm curfew is ridiculous and tomany teenagers need to have at least a 11 pm curfew. 9 pm is so early. It is 7 pm when they set to wherever they are going. If they are going to a party where it takes 70 minutes for them to get there. It takes them another 20 or 30 minutes to eat their dinner. By then, it's already 8 pm. Then, they don't get time to do anything else before they get home. A 9 pm curfew is too early.
I feel that communities should not have to give teenagers an early curfew. If parents raised their teens to be responsible and smart, they should not have to worry about them. Teenagers are old enough to make their own right and wrong choices and decisions. When the teenagers parents were young, most of them did not even have a curfew. I am not saying that parents should not give teens a curfew. It is just that I feel that they should not have to come in so early, like 9 pm. I understand that parents are concerned for their teens. Parents should sit down and talk to their teens, and tell them what not to do and what to do. Parents just have to learn how to trust their teens. They need to show them that they trust them. Parents should tell their teens to tell them where they are going and if they don’t have a curfew, what time they will be back. Parents should not treat their teens like they are small children. Teens know that the reason their parents give them an early curfew is that they do not want anything to happen to them or they think they’re going to go out and do something bad. This is where parents should trust their teens. Teens should have a curfew at least between 10 pm and 11 pm. If teenagers know that their parents trust them, they will actually act more mature. Who knows they might even start coming in early for their curfew. Parents should let their teens show them that they can be responsible enough to have a later curfew.
I am for teenagers to have a 9:00 p.m. curfew. Because some teenagers can get in trouble with the law such as drugs, drinking, and driving. And it can be serious it may lead to death. But sometimes, it depends on where they are going like a movies, parties. But they shouldn't stay at a party more than 5-6 hours. If you have a brother or sister that is staying past a least 12:00 a.m., your should take some drastic measure to tell someone or try to talk to them. To try to cut down their curfew to at least 10:30 p.m. And if they keep doing what they are doing then you should get your parents involved and make them cut down their curfew. They might not like you for that. But it's for their own good. And they may thank you for caring so much about them. You may feel good, and that person you help may feel good too. So all you teenagers out there who are suppose to be home at a certain time. You should at least to put some effort into trying to make it home. You may get some fun trying to beat your curfew.
It may become a game to try to beat your record. And there other ways that you may want to be home before your curfew. Your parents may take your car keys and car away for a long time. Get grounded so you can't go anywhere for the whole weekend. So if you have a curfew you should always stick it. Always watch the time.
1. Paper #9961942

This Level III response highlights a clear organizational plan. The writer previews three specific reasons in favor of a 9 p.m. curfew (might help with less crime and violence, help keep families from worrying about their children, narrow down the number of wrecks and drunk driving), develops each in turn, and revisits each reason in the conclusion. Another of the response’s organizational strengths is the deliberate repetition of information within each reason, which contributes to a smooth flow of ideas. However, this strategy does result in only sufficient rather than thorough development (keep families from worrying, how families worry, go through worrying). The movement is slow but well controlled, and each idea in turn develops more information. The writer maintains a consistent persuasive tone, which shows a nice sense of audience and purpose and overall a good understanding of the writing task.

2. Paper #9916145

This Level III response, though wordy and not tightly organized, shows a good understanding of the persuasive writing task. The writer is in favor of a 9 p.m. curfew and develops two themes, which become more apparent after reading the entire response: benefits for adults and benefits for teens. The benefits for adults include the force of law, less worry, and police focus on more serious crime. The teen benefit section includes a number of specific examples detailing a better use of time, which results in more productive behavior. There is some overlap in the themes toward the middle of the response, but the writer demonstrates enough control overall to produce sufficient development. The writer is engaged in the writing task and maintains a clear persuasive tone, but needs more skill with organizational strategies in order to achieve better development and a higher score.

3. Paper #9969722

The writer of this lively response shows a good understanding of persuasive technique. The response opens with a metaphor of political protest (rebellion, picket, petition) and maintains an irate tone from introduction through to the conclusion. The writer presents three reasons (upset teens, inconvenience adults, hurt teens with jobs) why a 9 p.m. curfew is unworkable. The organizational plan is clear, and the writer uses effective transitional phrases for a smooth flow within and between ideas. The use of meaningful vocabulary enhances development. The first reason is the most specific with clever examples of teen rebellion. The second reason uses cluster elaboration, and the third provides some logical progression of ideas. These last two reasons show some repetition and wordiness, which keeps the development sufficient rather than thorough. Minor spelling errors do not interrupt the flow of communication.
This higher Level III response shows good organization through the clear logical progression of ideas. The writer launches into three reasons in support of the curfew (help prevent bad choices, provide strict enforcement, safer and healthier teens). The persuasive tone is consistent and even, with a strong sense of audience and purpose. The meaningful and at times precise vocabulary (destructive environments, personal convictions, adequately punished) enhances the well-controlled development. Each reason provides more information, and the last reason reaches thoroughness with specific examples of peer pressure and healthy behaviors. Overall this uneven development remains sufficient though clearly the writer is capable of thorough development, which is evident in the last reason. This response shows a good understanding of the persuasive writing task.
If some communities were considering a 9 p.m. center for teenagers, I would be for it. I would be for it because it might help with less crime and violence. Another reason I would be for it is because it would help keep families from worrying about their children. My last reason would be because it would possibly narrow down the number of wrecks and teen drunk driving.

My first reason for being for it was because it would possibly help prevent violence and crime late at night. I think that if teenagers had to be home at 9 p.m. there would be less crime on the streets. For one, because most teens that commit crimes or cause violence do it late at night when there is less of a chance for them to get caught. Hopefully they wouldn’t risk getting caught and just not do the crimes.

My second reason for being for it is because it would keep families from worrying about their children. I picked this reason because I know from experience how families worry about teenagers because my family always worries about me. Everytime my family hears about a wreck they always call me to make sure I’m ok. I just think it would be best if families didn’t have to go through worrying so much just so their children can stay out a little longer.

My last reason I chose to be for it is because it would possibly narrow down teenage wrecks and drunk driving. I think this is a fantastic reason to be for it because if a child has a center at midnight they’re more prone to go out with their friends and drink. It’s a teenager knows they have to be home at 9 o’clock, they’re more than likely to just not drink so their parents won’t
know they've been drinking. If a teenager has been drinking all night and then try to drive home, they're more likely going to cause an accident, that's why I think it would be a good idea to make a curfew.

Personally, I wouldn't like having to be home at 9 o'clock, but if I knew it would prevent something, I would be happy to be home then. I know if you have kids and they go somewhere, you worry if they're safe; if they get there, or just what they're doing. You're not the only ones who worry about their children, so help me help them and make this possible. Everyday there are hundreds of accidents involving teenagers, either because of not paying attention, or just because it's late and they're sleepy. So help me make it so that there isn't so many of everyone's children dying or getting into trouble.
I think there should be a 9:00 p.m. curfew for teenagers. It would be beneficial to the parents because teenagers would stay out of trouble more, and teenagers would have fewer things to worry about. The 9:00 p.m. curfew would definitely benefit parents or guardians watching the teenagers. Parents can always set rules, but teenagers would take them more seriously. Laws for the teenagers to follow would be easier on the parents because they wouldn’t have to worry about their kid as much. Also, teens are most likely to stay out of trouble with a curfew set for them. Cops would have to worry less about teens doing something illegal and could focus on the more important crimes. Teens would be more safe. Teens' behavior patterns in school and home would change for the better, too. They could improve better cause they would have more time to study and do homework than just getting in late all the time. I'm sure most teens would hate this law but it would benefit so many people and them in the long run. Another important reason to have the 9:00 p.m. curfew is the behavior of teenagers would change. If a kid has to be home by a certain time it’s their parent telling them it’s the law then I’m pretty sure teens will obey it. It would give the teenagers more time to study and more time to get projects done. Everyone knows teens love to procrastinate, this way if it's 9:00 p.m. curfew is in effect it will give more time to do their things; which will result in good grades and good school behavior. It would also
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bring families closer together because there would be more time to spend with families. Last, but not least, reason it would the teenager more relaxing time to just chill and watch TV or get on the computer or take a nap. In the end I think it's beneficial to everyone but most of all for parents, teens would stay out of trouble and the teenager's behavior would improve better. Communities considering this should go ahead and pass the 9:00 p.m. It would help everyone in the long run.
Why shouldn't we have curfew at 9 p.m. for teenagers? It's quite simple why not. A curfew would cause rebellion and unrest in all teenagers. It would discourage parents, cops, and teenagers. Some teenagers work late in their jobs, and the curfew would say it is just not fair. Please take a look at why we shouldn't have a curfew.

Look out! Teenagers rebelling! You think that you can't keep teenagers in control once they just do it? Teenagers don't like to be told what to do. You were teenagers once too. Most go out with their good friends (my friends) to the movies and don't get home till late at night. Some teenagers might just get into a petition. Others might not do so much they might break windows, cars, or hold up stores. Just to get the message across.

It not only hurts us teenagers, but parents, cops too. If your parents have to be home at 9 p.m. and we all know some of your works late, cops would have to be on duty a lot longer because of the rebellion from the teenagers. What about doctors; teach drivers on the parents that work at a fast food restaurant. They work late into the night, and some time their kids helps a job now that a big thing.

We all know how the economy is going down. Doing this hard times teenagers have just a hard time finding a job as much as you. Making a curfew that early would just make it harder on them. Boss men would not want to hire someone who can only work for 4 hours. They want someone who will put in a big majority of their time. Especially if it is a part-time job, like when a teenage get out of school, then goes straight to work. They can only work.
at minimum wage that isn't a lot of money. You know as well as I that everything takes money.

Just look back at your teenage years. You might see that when you were our age things were a little more easier than how we have it today and when you see a teenager think of the rebellion, inconveniences for parents, cops and teenagers, but not least the economy. That's why I ask you not to put a curfew up. Not just me but for all teenagers who work or do other things, so please don't put a curfew in effect.

Thank you for reading this and I hope you will take this to heart and reject the proposal of a curfew cut at 9 p.m.
Community Leaders:

In my opinion, a 9 p.m. curfew for teens is quickly becoming a necessity. This curfew for teenagers is a wise decision because, curfews will decrease the chances of destructive decisions, give more incentive to get enough sleep, and it will help teens better recognize that their community truly cares about their well-being. I hope that this letter will help sway your minds on this issue.

It is my belief that the future of our younger generation could be positively influenced by this curfew. Without this curfew, students would continue to make bad choices, and as time goes on, the choices get harder and harder to make. Especially when it comes to peer pressure. The curfew can keep teens away from destructive environments and therefore away from temptations.

If the community decides to enforce this rule nightly, patrols by police or just by the neighborhood watch could possibly show teenagers that the curfew is a serious matter and not to be trifled with. If caught past the curfew, the offender should be immediately taken to their home, and the parents or guardian notified. At first, teens will most likely rebel and attempt to sneak out. Those who do should be adequately punished by the guardian or with his/her permission.

My personal convictions for this rule are tied to the safety and well-being of these teens.
The curfew will prevent destructive and harmful decisions such as drinking or drugs. Late at night, a teenager is more easily swayed to the pressure of "friends." A teen could possibly drink and then get behind the wheel of a car only to get in a wreck and kill themselves or someone else. Sleep is also important for the development of a teen's brain. A curfew will provide more incentive to go to bed earlier, improving focus in school and the attitude of the student. In turn, grades could be improved and even relationships and disciplinary problems could get better. Teenagers want to know that they are cared for. With this rule, teens will realize what is being prevented in their lives and thank the community that helped this along.

If you truly care for and believe in the younger generation, I urge you to heed my words. As a teen myself, I know what happens when it is late at night and decisions are being made. For the sake of your children, make the 9 p.m. curfew become a reality. With it, you could improve your community.

Sincerely,
PERSUASIVE MODE

LEVEL IV – Exceeds standard

1. Paper #9915670

This lower Level IV response illustrates that a well-constructed logical progression of ideas can both organize and develop an argument. The writer begins by discussing the anticipation of becoming a teenager, from freedom at 16 to responsibilities at 18. The second part is an exhaustive description of many teenagers’ daily schedules and the difficulties of finding any free time with friends. The information is thoroughly developed with specific examples and an unrelenting focus on the need for time in the evening. The vocabulary is more meaningful than precise, and sentence structure shows some variety. Although there are a few slightly awkward turns of phrase, the response is clear, very coherent, and complete.

2. Paper #9935111

This solid Level IV response uses precise language, specific examples and logical analysis to reach thorough development. The writer continually brings up and refutes opposition to a 9 p.m. curfew, an effective persuasive technique that also strengthens development. The writer initially explores teen drinking and drug use at night without a curfew. The second more abstract argument discusses the pros and cons of the role of curfew in helping create safe and responsible teens. The third reason details how crime and gang activity would diminish. The author concludes with a discussion of the value of family time and the need for communities to impose curfews. The smooth, clear flow within and between ideas demonstrates strong author control of organization, development, and purpose. The writer has a thorough understanding of the persuasive writing task.

3. Paper #9935117

This well-organized response previews three reasons in opposition to a strict 9 p.m. curfew (lose social life, not learn about responsibility, no participation in positive late night activities). The arguments include abstract and logical analyses with persuasive detail and good appeal to the audience. The writer smoothly connects ideas with an effective use of transitional language and a strong command of cause and effect argument. The first and best developed discussion on social life and status focuses on the need to make personal connections and the consequences on society if teens become depressed and isolated because of a curfew. The second argument explores the idea of a home-based flexible curfew to teach and reward responsible behavior. The final and less developed argument uses cluster elaboration to illustrate the need for teen sports and jobs. Development, though uneven, is overwhelmingly thorough, and the dire tone of warning evident throughout the response shows a strong sense of purpose. Syntactical complexity and a precise vocabulary further enhance the coherence and clarity of this response.
In this solid Level IV response, the writer opposes a curfew because school events last later than 9 p.m., teen jobs go late, and curfews infringe on family rights. The thorough development starts in the introduction with a hypothetical football game being halted because of curfew and continues adding information through the urgent conclusion. The writer uses cluster elaboration to sufficiently develop the school functions’ argument and logical order, with a focus on negative consequences, to thoroughly develop the last two reasons. The response has a strong organizational plan with an effective use of transitional language. The cause and effect developmental strategy in the last two reasons also contributes to the smooth flow of ideas within the response. There is an overall sense of completeness and a good sense of audience and purpose. This response demonstrates a thorough understanding of the persuasive writing task.
Having anine pm curfew for teenagers may sound very inviting to parents and older but to teens it shows a night curfew. All through elementary and middle school girls and boys dream of becoming teenagers but their parents dread it. Becoming a teen for us means more freedom and responsibility. Once you hit high school and earn freedom it even you. When you turn sixteen + obtain your license you snaped at the freedom you get like staying out till midnight, driving alone, and spending time with friends. In like when you turn eighteen your legally responsible for yourself + able to make life altering choice. If teens were to get a nine pm curfew it would destroy the foundation of dreaming to become one. Teens these days are involved in numerous activities and rely on a later curfew to get from one to the next. We go to school for eight hours of our day. An average teen wakes around 6:30 am, school starts at eight and gets out 2:30 pm. After school functions last till about six or 6:30 pm give or take a half hour. If we were to have a nine pm curfew it would only be about 2-3 hours of personal time. Which in that time teens will have to eat and shower. On weekend nights this seems almost impossible for some teens who attend church, which I might add gets out surprisingly late. For teens on the weekend its even harder to get free time because teens are starting to work at younger ages. After work teenagers will want to stop to get food or catch a movie with some friends. For a personal experience I'll
state that my friend worked on the weekend so this past weekend just to hang out we had to see a ten o’clock movie because she got off at 9:30 p.m. So if you give us a 9 p.m. curfew it will ruin our freedom and work schedules. For another example I’ll use sports teams. Most sports practices run late into the night preparing for a game or just working out. My friend Anthony plays on two baseball teams, one in travel and the other is his school team. He is constantly busy and always running from one practice to the next. He relies on that late curfew so he can get everything accomplished. These days are not just playing one sport or in one activity, it’s about an average of 2-4 per team. Multiple organizations and teams mean more time, which if you give us a 9 p.m. curfew will take away and smash our social lives. A 9 p.m. curfew for teens is a miserable idea because it will subtract from our work ethic, individuality and creativity.
The curfew, the word that strikes fear into the heart of teenagers all around the city, is it really so bad for teens? Everyday, somewhere, a teenager gets into a wreck due to alcohol abuse. Is simple solution is not found. The curfew that some communities want to impose. The curfew will force all teens to be indoors by 9 p.m. Curfews, although seemingly pointless, help to reduce drunken driving, parties, and crime; as well as build teenagers into the responsible adults of the future.

Firstly, alcohol is a substance that is rapidly growing in popularity among teens. Teenagers obtain this at parties and late night gatherings, when little adult supervision is to be found. A curfew would effectively eliminate any alcohol among teens because adults can now monitor the teens. Illegal drugs also find their way into late night parties and events. Nobody wants teens in their blocks to be drinking and smoking all night, disciplinary residents are damage their bodies. It is a sad fact that the drug problem is a climb by teenagers to hide their wrong doing, but a curfew can shed some light on the situation.

As mentioned in the previous paragraph the night can be a harmful place for teens. With a 9 p.m. curfew the whole atmosphere around teens will be safer and there would be far less temptations. Teenagers are the future leaders of the world and without a safe environment they can never develop into the responsible adults they are meant to be. Opponents of the curfew argue that teen's don’t need curfews, for they are already responsible and safe. This statement is far from complete and utter disregard for the facts. Teens have the highest insurance rates and late teens have some of the highest percent of drug and alcohol abusers. A curfew shields teens from harm.
and gives them a chance to be the people they are, not
having to be exposed to drugs, alcohol, and illegal parties.

Lastly, crime and gang affiliations can be reduced through
the use of a 9pm curfew. Teenagers who choose to purchase
illegal items, such as drugs, will do so away from prying eyes.
Therefore, late night becomes the perfect stage for gangs to
meet and illegal merchandise to be exchanged. Curfews can be
set to eliminate such meetings and keep our teens off the
streets and with their families. Some teenagers resent curfews
for the mere fact that they must be with their families, but
a little family bonding time imparts a very good effect on teenagers.
Through their families, teenagers can learn important values and
mores that are needed for life. Clearly, teens need some help from a curfew.

In conclusion, curfews are much more helpful than
harmful to the teenagers of today. Communities care deeply for
their teens and want them to have success in life that
is why curfews are made. Teens, whether or not they like it, to
be responsible adults in the future they need curfews. Visit
your local town hall and demand a curfew be placed for teens
are the future and must be cared for. Though the use of the
mighty shield that is the curfew.
Over the past several years, "curfews" and "curfew" time have been debated rigorously. Most teenagers claim that a curfew is unfair and unnecessary because only a small percentage of teenagers have been in trouble with authorities. While adults and parents claim a curfew would solve many of its problems, teenagers are skeptical. I believe that a 9 P.M. curfew is simply not in the best interest of today's teens as well as some adults. The main problems with a 9 P.M. curfew are that a teen will begin to lose his or her social life, will not learn about responsibility, and will not be able to participate in late night activities such as an adult with a job. A personal connection between client and agent is one of the most essential relationships in all of the world. Let's face it, if a teen cannot talk to friends or go hang out, they will never be able to establish a heart-to-heart connection with anyone. Consequently, the teenager may never get married which is one thing many teens do want to do. As a result, society as we know it will begin to dwindle until marriage and relationships no longer exist. Lastly, a teenager will overall be happier with his or her life and lifestyle without a curfew and with a social life. Most all depressions are shown in people that do not fully socialize with his or her surroundings. Thus, a lack of a social life could lead to depressions and with more depressed people, the world would become a very disturbing place to live. So, a curfew should not be implemented because
of the social impact it would have on teenagers.

Secondly, a curfew would take away vital learning time for the teens to learn responsibility. As my drama education teacher always said, "The older you get, the more responsibility is handed to you." This means that teenagers, because of their advancing age, need to become more responsible for their actions. A better way to teach the teen responsibility is for the parents to create a set curfew for being home. This makes the teen keep track of time and responsibility decide when to head back home. A hard curfew is a better way to teach and practice responsibility for teenagers. Also, staying out later is a privilege, not a right. So if the teen can show responsibility to get back home in time, then he or she should earn more time to stay out. This is a simple punishment and reward system that effectively works. The learning of responsibility cannot be simulated, so teens learn to learn it by personal experience.

Ultimately, with a curfew a teen would not be able to participate in positive, late night activities. Many teenagers now how jobs to help earn more for college. By setting a 9 P.M. curfew, you would be depriving the teens of extra work time and extra money. As a result, the teen may come up short on college funding. Also, teens would not be able to play sports that have late game starts. Sports keep teens active, America is one of the fittest countries in the world, so we need all the exercise we can get. By setting a 9 P.M. curfew, teens could not fulfill his or her lifestyle correctly.

By implementing a curfew you would be depriving teens of necessary time for activities and socialization. The main things being deprived would be learning responsibility, participating in activities and losing a good habit. Now, please, you have read and argument on this issue. Do not break a tram curfew for the sake of irritating.
A football game has two minutes left with the score being tied. Just when it looks like your team is going to score and win the game, the referee calls the game due to the fact that the teenagers playing have to be home before 9 p.m. Having a 9 p.m. curfew for teenagers is not wise because school events last later than 9 p.m. Sometimes, some student jobs last past 9 and having a community curfew limits rights of teenagers and parents.

One reason there should not be a 9 p.m. curfew for teenagers is because most school functions last later than 9 on some occasions. Sports are known to last well into the night and early morning during tournaments. Also, school dances do not usually start until 9 p.m. or later. If the community enforces a curfew for teens, it would really cut down on school participation which keeps kids out of trouble in the first place.

Another reason why a teenager curfew is not smart is because some jobs that students have last later than 9 p.m. Teenagers would not have a lot of job choices they could choose from because of the small amount of time left between when they get out of school and before their curfew. Some teenagers who need jobs to help support their household would not even be able to work at night if they were not allowed to work at night. Teenagers who have jobs lasting later than a 9 p.m. curfew
should not be penalized because they are actually showing responsibility and working.

The last reason the curfew is not a good idea is because it limits the rights of the teenager's parents and of the teenager himself or herself. The curfew would be taking away the teenager's rights by saying he or she has to be home by a certain time, because the teenager is doing nothing to hurt anyone else if he or she stays out past 9 p.m. The curfew also limits the parents' rights because they know what is best for their kid and they are responsible enough to make their own decisions about what time their child should be home. If people allow curfews to be a law, then pretty soon it won't just be the curfews that communities and governments are forcing people to follow, but will be trying to tell people what to do about everything.

Though there is nothing wrong with a little guidance for a teenager, the community should not be the one to force it upon the teenager. A curfew at 9 p.m. would not be good because most school events last later, student jobs may not even start until after nine, and the curfew limits the rights of parents and teenagers. A curfew at 9 p.m. would affect too many people in 'dad' ways to make it be successful in a community.